



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

show that, had he had a little more time, he would have succeeded in getting out of the way. The accident occurred near a curve, and the appellant proved that the engineer of the train blew his whistle in rounding the curve and on seeing the man, but he was only 200 feet away when it was evident that he was intoxicated and not likely to get off the track. The engineer did not attempt to stop the train until after the man was struck, and the momentum was so great that the train did not come to a stop until a third of a mile from the accident. The court said that if a person, whether drunk or sober, negligently incurs danger from a passing train, he is precluded from recovering, unless, after the danger is discovered, the engineer fail to do all in his power to lessen it. In this case, if the engineer had endeavored to stop the train when first the danger appeared, the deceased might have had time to save himself, and therefore the railroad company was held responsible.

Seal—Printed Forms—Sufficiency.—*Loraw v. Nissley*, 27 Atl. Rep. 242 (Penn.). The maker of a note used a printed blank, and signed his name to the left of the printed word "seal." The court declared that "sealing has become constructive rather than actual, and is in a great degree a matter of intention," and held that the use of a blank raises a conclusive presumption that all its parts not struck out or intended to be cancelled before signing, are adopted by the signer, and no other seal was necessary to the validity of the instrument.

Statutes—Presumption as to its Passage.—*State ex rel. Reed v. Jones*, 34 Pac. Rep. 201 (Wash.). The Attorney General of Washington was charged by a statute with the performance of certain duties. He refused to perform them on the ground that the legislature had not observed constitutional requirements in the passage of the act, a writ of mandamus was issued to compel his performance. Held, that where a bill is regular on its face, is signed by the presiding officers of the two houses of the legislature and approved by the executive, and is on file as directed by the constitution, it is conclusively presumed to have been regularly passed by the legislature.